## **Bath & North East Somerset Council**

## **Decision Register Entry**

Executive Forward Plan Reference

E2626

## Single Member Cabinet Decision

## 20mph Speed Limit Area 5 - Westmoreland/ Oldfield/ Lyncombe (part)/ Widcombe (part)

Γ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Decision maker/s	Cllr Caroline Roberts, Cabinet Member for Transport
The Issue	Consideration to the responses to the formal advertisement of the proposal to introduce a permanent 20mph speed limit on the residential roads of Westmoreland/ Oldfield/ Lyncombe (part)/ Widcombe (part).
Decision Date	Wednesday 19 <sup>th</sup> March 2014
The decision	The Cabinet Member agrees that the speed limit order is approved as advertised.
Rationale for decision	A 20mph speed limit is recommended as other authorities which have introduced 20mph speed limits have evidence to show that it has beneficial effects. They include benefits to the environment, and it can encourage more walking and cycling.
Financial and budget implications	The budget for these works forms part of the '20mph schemes' approved by Council as part of the 2013/14 budget report.
	In addition to the capital costs, the whole life cost associated with the project, consisting of additional signs and road markings will be included in the Transport Asset Management Plan and funded through the medium term financial plan.
Issues considered	Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Young People; Human Rights; Corporate; Health & Safety.
Consultation undertaken	Ward Councillors; Cabinet members; Staff; Other B&NES Services; Local Residents; Section 151 Finance Officer; Chief Executive; Monitoring Officer.
How consultation was carried out	Consultation was carried out by an informal leaflet letter drop; and a formal consultation of the Statutory Consultees, by public advertisement of the proposals for 21 days and circulation of this report.

Other options considered	The option of implementing 20mph Speed Limit Zones using traffic calming measures was considered. A scheme based on this option would be impractical and unsuitable because of the close proximity of buildings as well as additional cost of implementing physical speed reduction measures.
Signatures of Decision Makers	
Date of Signature	
Subject to Call-in until 5 Working days have elapsed following publication of the decision	